International Journal on Optimization and Applications

IJOA. Vol. 05, Issue No. 01, Year 2025, www.ijoa-journal.com

ChatGPT and the Future of Higher Education: Towards New Pedagogical Strategies

AMZIL AIMAD
Ecole HEEC, Marrakech, Morocco
aimad.amzil@eheec.ac.ma

ABDERRAHIM BEN BOUNA
Ecole HEEC, Marrakech, Morocco
benbouna.abderrahim@eheec.ac.ma

SOUFIANE BARIBI
Ecole HEEC, Marrakech, Morocco
sbaribi@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper explores the use of generative artificial intelligence (AI), mainly ChatGPT, for pedagogical purposes in higher education. The potential of AI to transform traditional educational and learning practices provides diverse solutions such as automated assessment, individualized learning, content generation, chatbots, language coaching, and time saving.

As an advanced AI solution, ChatGPT assists humans and improves the effectiveness of pedagogical approaches.

The study demonstrates different fields of application of AI in higher education, including accessibility, inclusivity, and improved communication and collaboration. AI promotes the enrichment of the learning experience through adapted educational tools and resources and simulations. However, the implementation of these tools requires guidance and ethical reflection on challenges such as data protection and security, clarity, and adaptation to cultural specificities.

While ChatGPT is proving to be a powerful tool, it is essential that its results be rigorously critically reviewed by human experts.

The incorporation of AI into academia has significant implications and consequences for teachers, students, and curriculum development. The application of these tools should be carefully regulated to ensure ethical and impartial application.

***Keywords*—Artificial intelligence, higher education, ChatGPT, teaching practices, chatbot**

XII. Introduction

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education is an emerging field within educational technology (Akpan et al., 2025; Blandin & Jeunesse, 2024). Its potential benefits in higher education include personalized pedagogical support, language skills practice, simplified learning processes for students, assistance with academic activities, and customized feedback (Sain et al., 2025; Kihel, 2025; Rafiq & Quratul-Ain, 2025).

Various educational applications of AI encompass personalized learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems (Boissière & Bruillard, 2021), natural language processing (Langevin, 2022), automated assessments (Le Moli, 2022), technology-based learning platforms, and teacher-student collaboration (Piekoszewski-Cuq, 2024). As such, AI applications have the potential to transform traditional teaching and learning methods.

Recent studies on AI in higher education report a growing interest within the academic community in its educational applications (Firat, 2023; Knox, 2020). The need for deeper investigation into the role of AI in higher education—prioritizing educational and social aspects alongside technical ones—has also been emphasized (Yun et al., 2025; González-Calatayud et al., 2021). ChatGPT, an advanced AI chatbot launched in November 2022, has since experienced rapid growth and has sparked widespread interest and debate in higher education (Whalen et al., 2025; Ayyaswamy & Naren, 2025). It has emerged as a leading generative AI tool (Whalen et al., 2025) and appears to be at the forefront of a new generation of AI tools that will necessitate a rethinking of the educational process (García-López et al., 2025). ChatGPT can generate new content and human-like responses and has demonstrated remarkable competence (Garcia-Varela et al., 2025). It is associated with various positive aspects such as personalized/adaptive learning experiences, editing, summarization, translation, research assistance, literature generation, as well as grading and assessment (Simelane & Kittur, 2025).

With regard to ChatGPT's function as a research assistant, studies have highlighted several associated benefits, including assisting with literature reviews, refining research questions, generating concise summaries, identifying relevant scholarly sources, and synthesizing research articles (Dunnigan et al., 2025; Case et al., 2025).

At the same time, challenges and concerns regarding AI and ChatGPT in higher education include cheating on assignments, over-reliance on AI tools (Kumar et al., 2025), ethical and privacy issues (Jin et al., 2025; Parsa, 2023), as well as student uncertainty and anxiety about future careers (Vishwakarma et al., 2025). Notably, concerns about the use of ChatGPT also relate to the reliability and accuracy of information, potential data biases, and academic integrity (Zahra et al., 2025; Sadallah et al., 2025).

The objective of this paper is to explore how generative AI can be leveraged to enhance pedagogical practices in higher education, using ChatGPT as a research support tool. While the application of AI in higher education is not entirely new (Xiao et al., 2025), several studies have pointed to a research gap highlighted in a recent review (Liu et al., 2025; Jan, 2025; Pavone et al., 2025), indicating that little research has focused

on how AI can assist tutors in their roles within higher education.

This study contributes to the ongoing debate on the potential of AI in higher education and the role of ChatGPT as a research assistance tool. It aims to generate international interest, as AI remains an evolving field expected to significantly influence educational practices in higher learning institutions.

XIII. Research Approach

A qualitative approach was used by interviewing ChatGPT. Due to the novelty of the topic, there are still relatively few studies that have applied and used ChatGPT as the subject being interviewed rather than a human participant. Kim et al. (2025) pointed out inaccurate responses provided by ChatGPT in the context of tourism-related decision-making. Another study by Walters and Wilder (2023) interviewed ChatGPT to test its creativity in managing bibliographic references and reported that the output was of similar quality to that produced by humans. GPT-4.0 is considered one of the most advanced large language models (Roumeliotis et al., 2025). Several studies have indicated that ChatGPT has been used as a research support tool to aid in the creation and generation of ideas and content (Valeri et al., 2025), in rephrasing paragraphs and finding references (Putri & Wahyudi, 2025), and in supporting qualitative (Wheeler, 2025) and technological research (Sebastian et al., 2025). Alongside the reported benefits and strengths, all studies have emphasized the need for ethical, cautious, and responsible use of such tools. For instance, Wheeler (2025) used ChatGPT as a support tool for generating and designing research ideas. He reported its usefulness in the research process while also highlighting the importance of critical thinking when evaluating the outputs produced by ChatGPT.

In order to explore the main ways in which AI can be leveraged to enhance pedagogical practices in higher education, ChatGPT was interviewed, and the following questions and prompts were submitted:

- What are the pedagogical opportunities of AI in higher education?
- How can AI support academic supervisors?
- How can AI support university students?

The responses generated and produced by ChatGPT (based on the submitted questions and prompts) were reviewed and analyzed to create main categories related to how AI can be used to enhance pedagogical practices in higher education.

Each category was further explored through Google Scholar by searching for the category's main keywords in combination with "artificial intelligence" and "higher education."

We will present the main approaches through which AI can be leveraged to enhance pedagogical practices in higher education.

XIV. Enhancing Pedagogical Practices in Higher Education Through Generative AI

A. *Personalized Learning*

AI can analyze students' learning patterns and tailor course content to their individual needs. This personalization has the potential to help students learn at their own pace, according to their interests and learning styles, to receive individualized support, and to increase their engagement (Akpan et al., 2025; Su & Yang, 2023).

In this way, students' strengths and weaknesses can be taken into account, and learning experiences can be optimized. Teachers could guide students in using AI models like ChatGPT for additional help or support. ChatGPT can provide personalized assistance to students, as it can adjust explanations and feedback according to the students' progress and preferences.

AI can also offer tailored improvement recommendations by suggesting additional resources or study materials suited to individual student needs. A recent study by Yu et al. (2025) emphasized the need for further research on various ways to support personalized learning with AI, as well as on pedagogical issues and the role of teachers. Intelligent tutoring systems are among the most effective tools for personalizing instruction. This growth in personalization is currently taking place as educational researchers experiment with new learning models (Jeannot, 2025). The potential of AI to influence how teachers provide personalized support or learning—potentially improving student outcomes—is an ongoing research issue (Yu et al., 2025). Evidence-based research is necessary, as many studies report claims regarding the potential benefits of AI.

B. *Automated Assessment and Feedback Generation*

AI-based systems can assist in the automated grading of assignments, quizzes, and tests, enabling educators to save time and ensure consistency in scoring. ChatGPT can automate test grading by providing quick feedback to students and allowing teachers to focus on delivering more in-depth and personalized evaluations (Ambert, 2024; Nadeau & Jobin, 2024). AI can also create adaptive assessments that adjust their difficulty based on a student's responses while providing instant feedback that highlights strengths and areas for improvement.

Analyzing student feedback to inform ongoing improvements in course content is another function of AI. Automated assessment can help students engage in self-regulated learning in both face-to-face and blended educational environments (Luo & Zhou, 2024). A recent study by Mudra (2025) suggests that higher education institutions could adopt AI tools as part of the assessment process. The implementation of AI in assessment has been linked to more robust evaluation methods and increased teacher engagement (Gong & Pang, 2025).

Evaluating the impact of AI on teaching and learning outcomes requires careful consideration of various metrics and methodologies. These may include measuring student performance through pre- and post-test score changes, course completion rates, monitoring student engagement levels, and

assessing learners' perceptions and satisfaction. It is recommended that future research further explore these areas.

C. Virtual Assistants and Chatbots

virtual assistants can help students and professors with routine administrative tasks, answer questions, and provide information about courses, schedules, and campus services. A virtual assistant can offer technical support such as helping with information retrieval or assisting in citation collection. Intelligent conversational chatbots allow students to interact online and enable tutors to create and manage their courses using generative AI tools (Akpan et al., 2025). Chatbots can answer students' questions, provide information about courses and programs, and assist students with institutional services and resources, thereby facilitating student support and engagement (Jin et al., 2025). AI-powered chatbots can assist both students and professors with inquiries, information, and administrative tasks. Being available 24/7, they can help students by answering their questions, providing information about course schedules and assignments, and even offering guidance on administrative matters.

D. Content Creation, Resource and Time Management

AI helps teachers create educational content that ultimately enhances student engagement. This includes generating exam questions, summarizing texts, and designing quizzes.

AI chatbots can create real-time conversational content, and beyond written content, they can also help produce images and videos. Designing educational content can save time for instructors and provide additional resources for students. ChatGPT, for example, can generate practice questions and mock exams to help students prepare for assessments.

AI models capable of generating diverse content have introduced innovation across various fields such as natural language processing, creative arts, and computer vision (George & Wooden, 2023; Chan & Hu, 2023). Sophisticated AI models capable of producing coherent and grammatically correct texts are transforming the field of human-computer interaction, offering various practical applications such as email writing or personal assistant tasks (George & Wooden, 2023).

AI can recommend relevant research articles, textbooks, and online resources to students and supervisors to support their learning and teaching efforts. AI applications can analyze schedules, workloads, and learning habits of students to provide personalized recommendations for effective study planning and time management. This can help students balance their academic responsibilities and avoid burnout.

Researchers have reported the use of AI in identifying learning patterns, sequencing curricula, instructional design, student management, and the provision of information and organization.

E. Translation and Language Support

Students can practice their language skills through AI-powered chatbots that answer their questions (Anger, 2024). Language learning applications and translation tools supported by AI can help students and teachers overcome language barriers.

By providing real-time translation into other languages, education can become more accessible to a wider range of students, especially international ones (Sofo, 2024). Chatbots can serve as useful supplementary resources to overcome language and cultural barriers, although they cannot replace the expertise and guidance of human tutors (Sofo, 2024).

In this way, language skills can be practiced and improved, leading to more engaging learning experiences. Students and teachers can also be supported in language-related tasks such as proofreading and linguistic translation, which is particularly useful in multilingual educational settings.

F. Research Support

AI-based tools can assist both teachers and students with literature review, data analysis, reading synthesis, and the identification of relevant research trends and patterns.

The benefits of using AI tools in research include text generation, allowing researchers to save time and effort so they can focus on other aspects of their projects; assistance in literature review by processing large volumes of data quickly; support in data analysis and interpretation; and help with peer-review activities (Mohammed et al., 2025). With the massive amount of data and knowledge available, AI technologies are capable of efficiently processing large datasets, helping researchers stay up to date with the latest research trends.

ChatGPT can support and enrich the research activities of students and scholars (Bohni Nielsen et al., 2025) by synthesizing academic texts and research articles, improving language use, generating diagrams and ideas, suggesting keywords, refining research questions, and identifying relevant academic sources. Chen et al. (2025) explored the role of ChatGPT as a writing assistant and suggested that future research should examine students' ability to use it as a tool for writing and research support.

G. Simulations and Virtual Labs

Artificial intelligence can support the creation of interactive simulations and virtual reality experiences that enhance learning by making it more immersive and student-centered. These tools can be tailored to match individual learning styles, supported by adaptive feedback to improve outcomes.

Universities increasingly adopt VR and simulation technologies to create active, hands-on learning environments. These approaches immerse students in realistic scenarios, promoting deeper engagement and understanding (Ren & Wu, 2025). This is particularly valuable in disciplines where physical experiments are costly, hazardous, or difficult to replicate.

AI-driven virtual labs provide practical training in fields such as science and engineering without requiring physical resources. For example, ChatGPT can simulate lab experiments, enabling learners to interact with scientific processes in a safe and flexible digital environment.

H. Collaboration and Communication

AI tools facilitate collaboration between students and faculty through integrated features such as chat, video conferencing, and collaborative document editing, thereby streamlining group assignments and project-based learning. These technologies also enable researchers to work jointly toward shared academic goals, enhancing both productivity and knowledge exchange (Ivaniceva & Daou, 2025).

Students increasingly use AI systems like ChatGPT to support collaborative tasks, including brainstorming, peer discussions, and co-authoring academic work. Ivaniceva and Daou (2025) emphasize the role of AI in fostering collaborative learning, highlighting its benefits in both face-to-face and digital settings. Their findings underline how AI contributes to the development of communication, cooperation, and higher-order thinking skills.

Moreover, AI facilitates access to real-time communication training resources, supporting students' interpersonal skill development (Wang, 2025). Recent research also points to AI's role in socially shared regulation of learning, where human and machine capabilities are integrated through hybrid intelligence models (Nikitenko et al., 2025).

These AI-driven interactions are especially relevant in online education, a modality that has expanded considerably in higher education since the COVID-19 pandemic (Acharya, 2025), reinforcing the need for intelligent and adaptive collaborative tools.

I. Accessibility and Inclusiveness

AI holds considerable potential to promote inclusion and equity in education, provided its design and implementation are ethical and context-sensitive. Through personalized learning pathways, AI can support differentiated instruction tailored to individual students' abilities, preferences, and learning styles, fostering more inclusive educational experiences.

AI-powered platforms offering online courses extend access to learners who may face geographic, economic, or institutional barriers to traditional higher education. Additionally, integrated language translation tools allow content to be delivered in students' native languages, enhancing accessibility for multilingual learners. AI technologies have also demonstrated positive impacts on the quality of life for individuals with disabilities, notably by improving access to educational content and communication tools (Bui & Tong, 2025).

ChatGPT and similar models can support diverse learners by offering alternative content formats—such as text-to-speech or simplified explanations—particularly benefiting students with learning disorders, visual impairments, or limited proficiency in the language of instruction (Papalexandratou et al., 2024). Such capabilities contribute to the creation of adaptive and student-centered learning environments.

However, while AI can foster inclusive education, it also raises important ethical concerns. As highlighted by Chalkiadakis et al. (2024), biases in training data and unequal access to AI tools may inadvertently reinforce existing disparities. These risks necessitate careful design choices, continuous evaluation, and equitable implementation strategies to ensure AI technologies serve all learners effectively, without exacerbating educational inequalities.

XV. Discussion

This article has explored various ways in which AI can be leveraged to enhance pedagogical practices in higher education. In this study, ChatGPT was employed as a research assistance tool. The responses generated by ChatGPT, based on the posed inquiries, provided an initial framework for deeper investigation, thereby facilitating the research process. While the content produced by ChatGPT was generally reliable, some redundancy was observed across outputs. These results were critically evaluated, triangulated with recent scholarly literature, and subsequently refined.

Consistent with current research, this study confirms that AI can significantly improve teaching and learning in higher education through multiple applications, including personalized learning, automated assessment and feedback, virtual assistants and chatbots, content generation, translation and language support, research facilitation, simulations, and virtual laboratories. These innovations collectively contribute to enhancing collaboration, communication, resource sharing, time management, and accessibility for both educators and learners.

It is important to acknowledge the interconnectedness of these AI applications. For example, resource recommendation functionalities are closely tied to AI's role as an educational assistant supporting both instructors and students. AI tools possess considerable potential to empower educators, enabling informed decision-making based on data-driven insights about student learning and engagement.

Nevertheless, despite the promising benefits reported in the literature, there remains a critical need for rigorous, evidence-based studies that systematically measure the actual impact of AI tools on pedagogical effectiveness and learning outcomes. In the present study, the use of ChatGPT as a research assistant facilitated the investigation, yet the outputs required thorough validation and human oversight.

Ultimately, AI tools like ChatGPT should be viewed as complementary instruments that augment, rather than replace, human educators. Their outputs demand careful evaluation and contextual interpretation by researchers and practitioners to mitigate risks associated with inaccurate or misleading information. Therefore, researchers, educators, and students should avoid exclusive reliance on AI-generated content, especially in specialized fields where expert judgment is essential.

Future research should focus on developing robust frameworks for integrating AI into higher education pedagogy, ensuring ethical considerations, inclusivity, and efficacy remain at the forefront of technological adoption.

A. Ethical Considerations

The implementation of generative AI tools such as ChatGPT in higher education requires careful consideration of ethical concerns, potential risks, and the possibility of misuse. Key ethical issues include data privacy, inherent biases in AI algorithms, lack of transparency, accessibility challenges,

cultural sensitivity, and potential negative impacts on students' critical thinking and creativity.

These limitations often stem from biased or misleading outputs influenced by the training data, inaccuracies in generated content, and opaque processes related to data selection and algorithmic decision-making. For example, Li (2024) noted that ChatGPT aligns well with Canadian cultural norms but shows reduced adaptability to diverse cultural contexts, which may affect its global applicability.

In the present study, ChatGPT's use as a research support tool highlighted specific constraints, such as lacking internet access and updates on the latest scientific developments. This limitation restricts the tool's ability to provide comprehensive and current scholarly information—an essential requirement in academic research. Hence, ChatGPT should be seen as a complementary tool, augmenting rather than replacing human expertise.

Educational risks associated with ChatGPT include generating inaccurate, implausible, or inappropriate content; users' preference for AI-generated text over human work; inadvertent disclosure of sensitive personal data; and exacerbating the digital divide due to unequal access and varying digital literacy levels. Academic integrity concerns, including cheating and plagiarism, have been widely reported (Kuzminska et al., 2023). The ease of obtaining AI-generated content is perceived as a threat (Aad & Hardey, 2025), fueling ongoing debates about potential misuse in education (Vartiainen et al., 2025).

A systematic review of large language models in education (García-López et al., 2025) identified challenges such as limited technological readiness, insufficient transparency, and weak data privacy protections. Addressing these ethical and pedagogical issues, particularly in the context of expanded blended and online learning post-pandemic, remains a critical research priority (Daniel et al., 2025). Meanwhile, Songsiengchai (2025) emphasizes AI's promising role in supporting academic writing within blended learning frameworks, highlighting the nuanced balance between innovation and caution.

B. Implications: Technological Literacy and Training

The integration of ChatGPT and other generative AI tools into higher education has profound implications for educators, students, curriculum design, and institutional policies. Effective implementation requires comprehensive training and ongoing support to ensure that both students and educators can navigate these technologies confidently and ethically. Recent research highlights the necessity of incorporating generative AI comprehension as a core component of digital literacy curricula (Akpan et al., 2025). Proper training enables users to overcome technical barriers, understand AI functionalities, critically interpret generated outputs, and harness AI benefits responsibly.

Training initiatives, including workshops and professional development programs, should target AI literacy enhancement for all stakeholders. Key competencies include critical thinking, problem-solving, and effective communication. Educators require pedagogical and technical training to fully exploit AI tools like ChatGPT and to act as facilitators who guide students in ethical AI use while addressing concerns such as data privacy, plagiarism, and algorithmic transparency (Kabir et al., 2025).

Encouraging students to critically analyze AI-generated content can foster deeper understanding of these tools' capabilities and limitations. While AI can increase efficiency, it cannot substitute for human expertise, especially in tasks demanding ethical judgment, such as academic writing. Training students in ChatGPT literacy supports the development of writing skills and academic rigor. As Labib and ElSabry (2025) argue, ChatGPT presents both opportunities and challenges that require cautious integration into higher education.

Given recent advances in AI-generated multimedia content—including realistic images, videos, and voice cloning—it is essential to raise students' awareness about these emerging technologies. Training programs should be designed to cultivate higher-order cognitive skills like creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving while avoiding overreliance on AI tools. Curriculum redesigns may be necessary to incorporate ethical considerations and address bias in AI applications.

Universities are encouraged to establish clear policies and ethical guidelines to ensure responsible AI use aligned with pedagogical goals. Collaboration among administrators, policymakers, and educators is vital for developing frameworks that maximize AI's benefits while mitigating risks. Additionally, assessment methods should evolve to prioritize evaluation of complex cognitive skills over rote technical knowledge, helping to prevent plagiarism and misuse.

Continuous training is critical, given the rapid pace of technological change. Staff and students should be regularly updated on ethical challenges, data privacy, and the potential inaccuracies of AI-generated information. Furthermore, partnerships between higher education institutions and AI developers can facilitate the creation of tools that align with educational values and needs.

Pedagogically, this integration calls for flexible curricula, ongoing professional development, inclusion of AI ethics courses, and support for self-directed student learning (Ige et al., 2025). Future research should investigate sustainable models for embedding AI tools in education, with Jin et al. (2025) advocating for comprehensive training that equips both educators and students with generative AI competencies, reshaping the future landscape of higher education.

C. Limitations and Future Research

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the initial queries submitted to ChatGPT did not specify the context of AI usage—whether in face-to-face, online, or blended learning environments, nor did they target specific academic disciplines. Given the accelerated digitalization of higher education during the pandemic and the increasing prevalence of blended and online learning, it is essential to investigate AI's opportunities and challenges across diverse instructional modes. Kerimbayev et al. (2025) notably explored AI applications in online learning environments.

Furthermore, future research should examine AI's capabilities and constraints within specific academic domains. For example, Bewersdorff et al. (2025) analyzed AI's potential in language education, while fields such as engineering, computer science, and language instruction have been identified as prominent areas for AI integration in higher education (Kihel, 2025; Anger, 2024; Luo & Zhou, 2024).

Another limitation lies in ChatGPT's temporal knowledge cutoff and lack of access to real-time data, restricting its ability to provide the most current information. Additionally, this study's outputs were reviewed solely by the author, whose prior knowledge influenced result interpretation; consequently, some recent relevant studies may have been overlooked. Involving domain experts in future research would strengthen the validity and depth of findings.

Moreover, this study did not investigate specific case studies or concrete examples of ChatGPT's use within higher education. Future work should include empirical investigations to assess practical implementations and outcomes.

Lastly, research is needed to explore the long-term impacts of AI integration on higher education pedagogy and practice. While many existing studies speculate on AI's potential, there is a pressing need for rigorous, evidence-based research. As generative AI continues to evolve rapidly, its role as a core digital literacy component and its integration with existing educational technologies warrant focused examination as an emerging and critical research domain.

XVI. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that artificial intelligence (AI) can be leveraged in multiple ways to enhance pedagogical practices in higher education, with ChatGPT standing out as a significant AI tool capable of generating content and stimulating ideas for further academic inquiry. Serving as a valuable research assistant, ChatGPT supports both educators and students throughout the research process. Nonetheless, human supervision, expertise, and active engagement remain indispensable to ensure accuracy and contextual relevance.

This work contributes meaningfully to the ongoing discourse on ChatGPT's role as a research assistant in higher education. While it offers substantial support to researchers, students, and instructors, ChatGPT should function as a complementary tool that enriches rather than replaces traditional pedagogical methods and the irreplaceable role of human educators. Understanding and addressing its limitations is vital to fostering a balanced and effective user experience. Moreover, potential risks—such as the generation of implausible or inaccurate outputs, embedded biases, ethical dilemmas, threats to academic integrity, and exacerbation of the digital divide—must be carefully managed.

The deployment of generative AI tools like ChatGPT in higher education implicates educators, learners, curriculum developers, and institutional policymakers. Ensuring adequate training and support is essential for thoughtful and effective AI integration within academic environments.

As AI technologies evolve rapidly, responsible implementation necessitates a comprehensive understanding of their benefits alongside inherent risks and potential misuses. The impact of AI on higher education remains emergent, presenting transformative possibilities for teaching and learning. However, integration must proceed collaboratively with human educators, whose unique qualities—such as empathy, nuanced understanding of students' needs, and mentorship—are central to the educational experience.

This collaborative synergy between AI and human educators will maximize AI's advantages while addressing ethical challenges. The development of innovative ethical and pedagogical frameworks is becoming increasingly critical. Striking and maintaining a balance between technological tools and human intervention is fundamental to cultivating engaging, inclusive, and effective learning environments.

Identifying optimal strategies to harness AI for supporting and enhancing educational practices in higher education remains a fertile and evolving area of research. Ongoing investigations continue to examine the pedagogical implications of AI, the evolving roles of educators, and the dynamic integration of AI in formal academic settings, promising to shape the future landscape of higher education.

References

[44] A. Bewersdorff, C. Hartmann, M. Hornberger, K. Seßler, M. Bannert, E. Kasneci, … C. Nerdel, Taking the next step with generative artificial intelligence: The transformative role of multimodal large language models in science education, *Learning and Individual Differences*, Vol. 118, p. 102601, 2025.

[45] A. B. Ige, P. A. Adepoju, A. O. Akinade, A. I. Afolabi, Machine learning in industrial applications: An in-depth review and future directions, 2025.

[46] A. Chalkiadakis, A. Seremetaki, A. Kanellou, M. Kallishi, A. Morfopoulou, M. Moraitaki, S. Mastrokoukou, Impact of artificial intelligence and virtual reality on educational inclusion: A systematic review of technologies supporting students with disabilities, *Education Science* 11, Vol. 14, p. 1223, 2024.

[47] A. Chen, M. Xiang, J. Zhou, J. Jia, J. Shang, X. Li, … Y. Fan, Unpacking help-seeking process through multimodal learning analytics: A comparative study of ChatGPT vs Human expert, *Computers & Education*, Vol. 226, p. 105198, 2025.

[48] A. Kabir, S. Shah, A. Haddad, D. M. Raper, Introducing our custom GPT: An example of the potential impact of personalized GPT builders on scientific writing, *World Neurosurgery*, Vol. 193, p. 461-468, 2025.

[49] B. Blandin, C. Jeunesse, Chapitre 25. Développement du digital learning. Dans P. Carré, P. Caspar, C. Frétigné et O. Las Vergnas (dir.), *Traité des sciences et des techniques de la Formation* - 5e éd., p. 540–563, 2024.

[50] B. B. Acharya, Media Education during the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Literature Review Using AI Tools, *Digital Inequalities in Media Education in South Asia*. p. 21-45, 2025.

[51] B. George, O. Wooden, Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence, *Administrative Sciences 9*, Vol. 13, p. 196, 2023.

[52] C. Langevin, Les technologies de l'intelligence artificielle au service des médias et des éditeurs de contenus : Traitement du langage naturel (TAL), *I2D - Information, données & documents* 1, Vol. 1, p. 30–37, 2022.

[53] D. Ambert, Retrouver l'humain au cœur de l'IA et de la robotique, et lui redonner toute sa place Conférence, *Revue confluence 2*, Vol. 6p. 23-42, 2024.

[54] D. R. Cotton, P. A. Cotton, J. R. Shipway, Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT, *Innovations in Education and Teaching International 2*, Vol. 61, p. 228–239, 2024.

[55] D. Zha, Z. P. Bhat, K. H. Lai, F. Yang, Z. Jiang, S. Zhong, X. Hu, Data-centric artificial intelligence: A survey, ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 57, no. 5, p. 1–42, 2025.

[56] F. Valeri, P. Nilsson, A. M. Cederqvist, Exploring students' experience of ChatGPT in STEM education, Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, vol. 8, 100360, 2025.

[57] G. Le Moli, Intelligence artificielle vs dignité humaine : Quand la sous-performance humaine est légalement requise, *RED* 1, Vol. 4, p. 122-127, 2022.

[58] G. Sofo, La traduction à l'ère numérique: Histoire, évolution et perspectives de la rencontre entre la traduction et l'intelligence artificielle, STUDI E RICERCHE, p. 17–32, 2023.

[59] F. Garcia-Varela, Z. Bekerman, M. Nussbaum, M. Mendoza, J. Montero, Reducing interpretative ambiguity in an educational environment with ChatGPT, *Computers & Education*, Vol. 225, p. 105182, 2025.

[60] G. Jeannot, Les premières réponses des administrations à l'intelligence artificielle générative en Californie et en France : encadrer l'usage de ChatGPT ou maîtriser des outils dédiés ?, *Revue française d'administration publique 2*, Vol. 186, p. 541-555, 2025.

[61] G. Yun, K. M. Lee, H. H. Choi, Empowering Student Learning Through Artificial Intelligence: A Bibliometric Analysis, Journal of Educational Computing Research, vol. 62, no. 8, p. 2042–2075, 2025.

[62] **H. Mudra**, *Self-Regulated Online Learning of Rural University EFL Students*, SALEE: Study of Applied Linguistics and English Education, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 125–144, 2025.

[63] H. Vartiainen, T. Valtonen, J. Kahila, M. Tedre, ChatGPT and imaginaries of the future of education: insights of Finnish teacher educators, Information and Learning Sciences, vol. 126, no. 1/2, p. 75–90, 2025.

[64] I. A. Mohammed, A. Bello, B. Ayuba, Effect of large language models artificial intelligence ChatGPT chatbot on achievement of computer education students, Education and Information Technologies, vol. 30, p. 1-26, 2025.

[65] I. J. Akpan, Y. M. Kobara, J. Owolabi, A. A. Akpan, O. F. Offodile, Conversational and generative artificial intelligence and human–chatbot interaction in education and research, *International Transactions in Operational Research 3*, Vol. 32, p. 1251-1281, 2025.

[66] I. M. García-López, C. S. G. González, M. S. Ramírez-Montoya, J. M. Molina-Espinosa, Challenges of implementing ChatGPT on education: Systematic literature review, *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, Vol. 8, p. 100401, 2025.

[67] J. Boissière, É. Bruillard, Chapitre 13. Intelligence artificielle dans l'éducation : Une place à trouver, dans *L'école digitale, une éducation à apprendre et à vivre*, p. 289–317, 2021.

[68] J. Dunnigan, M. Kozak, N. Pearce, H. T. Rasmussen, Introduction to the AI Special Edition Themed Issue: The Role of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Doctoral Research and Writing, *Impacting Education: Journal on Transforming Professional Practice 1*, Vol. 10, p. 1–2, 2025.

[69] J. H. Kim, J. Kim, J. Park, C. Kim, J. Jhang, B. King, When ChatGPT gives incorrect answers: the impact of inaccurate information by generative AI on tourism decision-making, *Journal of Travel Research 1*, Vol. 64, p. 51-73, 2025.

[70] J. Knox, Artificial intelligence and education in China, *Learning, Media and Technology 3*, Vol. 45, p. 298-311, 2020.

[71] J. Li, *The experiences of bilingual Chinese international students studying in English at a small Canadian university*, Doctoral dissertation, 2024.

[72] J. Su, W. Yang, Unlocking the power of ChatGPT: A framework for applying generative AI in education, ECNU Review of Education, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 355–366, 2023.

[73] J. Whalen, W. Grube, C. Xu, T. Trust, K-12 Educators' Reactions and Responses to ChatGPT and GenAI During the 2022–2023 School Year, TechTrends, vol. 69, no. 1, p. 125–137, 2025.

[74] K. Ayyaswamy, A. K. Naren, New Perspectives on the Contribution of ChatGPT With AI in the Modern Era, dans *Enhancing Research for Academicians in Higher Education*, p. 249-272, IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025.

[75] K. Daniel, M. M. Msambwa, Z. Wen, Can Generative AI Revolutionise Academic Skills Development in Higher Education? A Systematic Literature Review, *European Journal of Education 1*, Vol. 60, p. e70036, 2025.

[76] K. I. Roumeliotis, N. D. Tselikas, D. K. Nasiopoulos, Fake News Detection and Classification: A Comparative Study of Convolutional Neural Networks, Large Language Models, and Natural Language Processing Models, Future Internet, vol. 17, no. 1, 2025.

[77] K. Gong, H. Pang, Relationships Between Chinese First-Year University EAP Learners' Self-Regulated Learning Strategy Use and Beliefs About Academic Writing: A Structural Equation Model, *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher 1*, Vol. 34, p. 473-481, 2025.

[78] K. Wheeler, How to Use Generative AI to Assist the Analysis of Qualitative Data, Sage Research Methods How to Guides, 2025.

[79] L. Kihel, L'intelligence artificielle au service de l'enseignement/apprentissage des langues, *L'Archétype 1*, Vol. 3, p. 128–134, 2025.

[80] L. N. Labib, E. A. ElSabry, Integrating AI into Higher Education: A Comprehensive Exploration, in *Interdisciplinary Studies on Digital Transformation and Innovation: Business, Education, and Medical Approaches*, IGI Global Scientific Publishing, p. 1–30, 2025.

[81] L. P. Vishwakarma, R. K. Singh, R. Mishra, A. Kumari, Application of artificial intelligence for resilient and sustainable healthcare system: Systematic literature review and future research directions, International Journal of Production Research, vol. 63, no. 2, p. 822–844, 2025.

[82] M. Ivaniceva Daou, Reimagining Filmmaking Education in Saudi Arabia Through the Use of AI, dans *AI in the Middle East for Growth and Business: A Transformative Force*, p. 263–279, 2025.

[83] N. Kerimbayev, K. Adamova, R. Shadiev, Z. Altinay, Intelligent educational technologies in individual learning: a systematic literature review, *Smart Learning Environments 1*, Vol. 12, p. 1, 2025.

[84] N. Xiao, Y. Pei, C. Yuan, Y. Bu, Z. Cai, Transforming Education with Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Review of Applications, Challenges, and Future Directions, International Theory and Practice in Humanities and Social Sciences, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 337–356, 2025.

[85] O. H. Kuzminska, M. S. Mazorchuk, O. M. Vasilyuk, Students' Perception of Plagiarism: Case Study of Academic Integrity Formation, *Information Technologies and Learning Tools 4*, Vol. 96, p. 121, 2023.

[86] P. M. Simelane, J. Kittur, Use of generative artificial intelligence in teaching and learning: Engineering instructors' perspectives, Computer Applications in Engineering Education, vol. 33, no. 1, e22813, 2025.

[87] **P. Nadeau, K. Jobin**, *4. Enjeux éthiques et sociétaux de l'IA*, in *Intelligence artificielle : Génération Générative ChatGPT, Midjourney... S'approprier les nouvelles IA qui révolutionnent le monde professionnel*, p. 115–169, Dunod, 2024.

[88] P. Papalexandratou, A. Stathopoulou, C. Skanavis, The Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Education of Students with Learning Disabilities – A Systematic Review, Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances 3, Vol. 21, p. 033-049, 2024.

[89] P. Piekoszewski-Cuq, Intelligence artificielle : Quelle formation pour les enseignants ?, Administration & Éducation 3, Vol. 183, p. 97–105, 2024.

[90] R. Case, L. Liu, J. Mintz, Integrating AI Technology Into Language Teacher Education: Challenges, Potentials, and Assumptions, *Computers in the Schools*, p. 1–7, 2025.

[91] R. Jan, Examining the Reliability of ChatGPT: Identifying Retracted Scientific Literature and Ensuring Accurate Citations and References, dans *Impacts of Generative AI on the Future of Research and Education*, p. 367–392, IGI Global, 2025.

[92] **R. Z. Luo, Y. L. Zhou**, *The effectiveness of self-regulated learning strategies in higher education blended learning: A five years*

systematic review, Journal of Computer Assisted Learning 6, vol. 40, p. 3005-3029, 2024.

[93] S. Aad, M. Hardey, Generative AI: hopes, controversies and the future of faculty roles in education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 2, vol. 33, p. 267–282, 2025.

[94] S. Biswas, Evaluating errors and improving performance of ChatGPT, *International Journal of Clinical and Medical Education Research* 6, Vol. 2, p. 1, 2023.

[95] S. Bohni Nielsen, F. Mazzeo Rinaldi, G. J. Petersson, Artificial Intelligence and Evaluation: Emerging Technologies and Their Implications for Evaluation, 2025.

[96] S. Parsa, Quand l'ère du numérique bascule dans l'IA, DPO News 1, Vol. 21, p. 1, 2023.

[97] S. Rafiq, D. A. A. Qurat-ul-Ain, The role of AI detection tools in upholding academic integrity: An evaluation of their effectiveness, Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review 1, Vol. 3, p. 901-915, 2025.

[98] S. Songsiengchai, Implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI): Chat GPT for Effective English Language Learning among Thai Students in Higher Education, International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 302–312, 2025.

[99] S. S. Kumar, B. Ku, R. Sen, M. Kumar, R. Lata, Exploring the Impact of Communicative Leadership on Employee Engagement: The Mediated Moderated Effect of Employee Perceptions of Communication and Leaders' Intention to use ChatGPT, *Journal of Ecohumanism 1*, Vol. 4, p. 86-105, 2025.

[100] S. Zahra, M. Samra, L. El Gizawi, Working Toward Advanced Architectural Education: Developing an AI-Based Model to Improve Emotional Intelligence in Education, Buildings, vol. 15, no. 3, 356, 2025.

[101] T. T. U. Bui, T. V. A. Tong, The Impact of AI Writing Tools on Academic Integrity: Unveiling English-Majored Students' Perceptions and Practical Solutions, *AsiaCALL Online Journal 1*, Vol. 16, p. 83–110, 2025.

[102] T. Zain, Y. Tauqeer, M. S. Ali, Sustainable Distance Learning in Higher Education: Exploring Artificial Intelligence's Role in Personalization and Engagement, Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 216–233, 2025.

[103] V. Anger, VII. La traduction automatique. Ce que la traduction d'un art par un autre nous apprend sur la nature de la traduction. Dans F. Levin et É. Ollion (dir.), *Ce qui échappe à l'intelligence artificielle*, p. 131-149. Hermann, 2024.

[104] V. González-Calatayud, P. Prendes-Espinosa, R. Roig-Vila, Artificial intelligence for student assessment: A systematic review, *Applied Sciences 12*, Vol. 11, p. 5467, 2021.

[105] **V. Nikitenko, V. Voronkova, R. Oleksenko, O. Kyvliuk, L. Klochek, N. Koliada, ... M. Drachuk**, *Developing the Concept of Digital Humanism as Human Interaction with Artificial Intelligence*, Pakistan Journal of Life & Social Sciences, vol. 23, no. 1, 2025.

[106] X. Ren, M. L. Wu, Examining Teaching Competencies and Challenges While Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education, TechTrends, p. 1–20, 2025.

[107] Y. Chan, W. Hu, Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education, *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education 1*, Vol. 20, p. 43, 2023.

[108] Y. Jin, L. Yan, V. Echeverria, D. Gašević, R. Martinez-Maldonado, Generative AI in higher education: A global perspective of institutional adoption policies and guidelines, *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 8, p. 100348, 2025.

[109] Y. Liu, W. Kong, K. Merve, ChatGPT applications in academic writing: a review of potential, limitations, and ethical challenges, Arquivos Brasileiros de Oftalmologia 3, vol. 88, p. e2024-0269, 2025.

[110] **Y. Liu, T. Han, S. Ma, J. Zhang, Y. Yang, J. Tian, ... & B. Ge,** *Summary of ChatGPT-related research and perspective towards the future of large language models*, Meta-Radiology, p. 100017, 2023.

[111] Y. Wang, A Study on the Efficacy of ChatGPT-4 in Enhancing Students' English Communication Skills, SAGE Open, vol. 15, no. 1, 2025.

[112] W. H. Walters, E. I. Wilder, Fabrication and errors in the bibliographic citations generated by ChatGPT, Scientific Reports, vol. 13, no. 1, 14045, 2023.

[113] Z. H. Sain, R. Serban, N. B. Abdullah, C. C. Thelma, Benefits and Drawbacks of Leveraging ChatGPT to Enhance Writing Skills in Secondary Education, At-Tadzkir: Islamic Education Journal, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 40–52, 2025.